Case 1:25-cr-00208-JSR Document 10 Filed 05/21/25 Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

United States of America

Protective Order

v.

25 Cr. 208 (JSR)

Jonathan Banyan,

Defendant.

Upon the application of the United States of America, and the defendant having requested discovery under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

Categories

1. **Disclosure Material.** The Government has made and will make disclosure to the defendant of documents, objects, and information, including electronically stored information ("ESI"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 16, 18 U.S.C. § 3500, and the Government's general obligation to produce exculpatory and impeachment material in criminal cases, some of which will be designated as "Disclosure Material." The Government's Disclosure Material may include material that (i) affects the privacy and confidentiality of individuals; (ii) may be produced with more limited redactions than would otherwise be necessary; and (iii) that is not authorized to be disclosed to the public or disclosed beyond that which is necessary for the defense of this criminal case.

NOW, THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Disclosure and Treatment

2. Disclosure Material shall not be disclosed by the defendant or defense counsel, including any successor counsel ("the defense") other than as set forth herein, and shall be used by the defense solely for purposes of defending this action. The defense shall not post any

Disclosure Material on any Internet site or network site, including any social media site such as Facebook or Twitter, to which persons other than the parties hereto have access, and shall not disclose any Disclosure Material to the media.

Other Provisions

- 3. This Order does not prevent the disclosure of any Disclosure Material in any hearing or trial held in this action, or to any judge or magistrate judge, for purposes of this action. All filings should comply with the privacy protection provisions of Fed. R. Crim. P. 49.1 and the above provisions.
- 4. The Government's designation of material will be controlling absent contrary order of the Court. The parties shall meet and confer regarding any dispute over such designations, after which the defense may seek de-designation by the Court. The Government may authorize, in writing, disclosure of Disclosure Material beyond that otherwise permitted by this Order without further Order of this Court.
- 5. The defense shall provide a copy of this Order to prospective witnesses and persons retained by counsel to whom the defense has disclosed Disclosure Material. All such persons shall be subject to the terms of this Order. Defense counsel shall maintain a record of what information has been disclosed to which such persons.
- 6. Except for Disclosure Material that has been made part of the record of this case, the defense shall return to the Government or securely destroy or delete all Disclosure Material, including any ESI, within 30 days of the expiration of the period for direct appeal from any verdict in the above-captioned case; the period of direct appeal from any order dismissing any of the charges in the above-captioned case; and the granting of any motion made on behalf of the Government dismissing any charges in the above-captioned case, whichever date is later, subject

2

to defense counsel's obligation to retain client files under the Rules of Professional Conduct. If

Disclosure Material is provided to any personnel for whose conduct defense counsel is responsible

or prospective witnesses, defense counsel shall make reasonable efforts to seek the return or

destruction of such materials.

7. This Order places no restriction on a defendant's use or disclosure of ESI or other

Disclosure Material that originally belonged to the defendant.

Retention of Jurisdiction

8. The provisions of this order shall not terminate at the conclusion of this criminal

prosecution and the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order following termination of

the case.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: New York, New York

May **2**| ,2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE